

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,166	09/05/2000	James Alan Hedrington	1850.292USD1	8174
75	90 03/21/2002			
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			BECKER, DREW E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	m
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,166	09/05/2000	James Alan Hedrington	1850.292USD1	8174
7:	590 02/22/2002			
Merchant Gould Smith Edell Welter & Schmidt 3100 Norwest Center 90 South Seventh Street			EXAMINER	
			BECKER, DREW E	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402-4131			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	10
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		7.0-10					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
, Office Action Summer	09/655,166	HEDRINGTON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Drew E Becker	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 L	December 2001 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊡ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

'Application/Control Number: 09/655,166

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Omarini [Pat. No. 5,404,798] in view of Lang et al [Pat. No. 5,039,535]. Omarini teaches a method of cooking by placing food on a circular, rotatable support member (Figure 1, 6; column 3, lines 3-15), a heating chamber which covers only a portion of the support member and defined by upper and lower housings (Figure 1, #1, 11, & 15), heating members (Figure 3, 3; column 2, line 35), and replacing the support member (column 2, line 53). Omarini does not teach placing a pizza over the axis of rotation. Lang et al teach a method of cooking pizza by centering it on a rotatable support member (column 8, line 57; column 6, line 57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to cook a centered pizza in the invention of Omarini, in view of Lang et al. since both are directed to methods of cooking food in rotatable devices, since Omarini teaches cooking food containing sauces, bread, vegetables and cheese (column 3, lines 40-43), since pizza was commonly made from these components, and since pizza was commonly cooked by centering it on a rotatable surface as shown by Lang et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,166

Art Unit: 1761

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 703-305-0300. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7am-5pm.

Alexander

Application/Control Number: 09/655,166

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

Drew Becker February 12, 2002

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER